



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/782,018

02/19/2004

Kevin P. Fox

33170-1

4299

24256

7590

09/08/2006

DINSMORE & SHOHL, LLP
1900 CHEMED CENTER
255 EAST FIFTH STREET
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

EXAMINER

DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3611

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/782,018

Applicant(s)

FOX ET AL.

Examiner

Cassandra Davis

Art Unit

3611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6, 7, 10, 11, 17, 18 and 23-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 8, 9, 12-16 and 19-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, drawn to figures 1-7 in the reply filed on June 22, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Applicants' believes that it would not be unreasonably burdensome for the Examiner to consider all of the claims as originally filed. This is not found persuasive because the search necessary for examination of embodiment described in Group I is not necessary for the examination of the embodiment described in remaining Groups.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 17, 18, and 23-26 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

In claim 1, line 9, the phrase "the top and bottom portions" lacks antecedent basis. The examiner will consider favorably the "the top and lower portion".

In claim 4, line 2 and claim 13, line 3, the phrase "a perimeter" should read "the perimeter".

In claim 16, line 2, the phrase "the inner surface" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 20, line 8, the phrase "first display window" should read "the display window".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 12, 14, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Livingston, U. S. Patent 1,877,919.

5. With respect to claims 1 and 12, Livingston teaches a nameplate comprising a base (flat glass panel 1) having an inner surface and at least one display window (transparent face 1^a, line 56) disposed inside a perimeter of the base. Livingston also teaches one recessed portion (3) disposed on the inner surface of the base adjacent to the display window (figure 3), a plurality of tiles (plates 5) having an upper side and a lower side, and a tile carrier (holder 4) having a top and lower portion (flanges 4^a), wherein the tiles are retained in the tile carrier 4 by engagement of the upper and lower sides of the tiles with the top and bottom portions of the tile carrier (holder 4). The tile carrier (holder 4) is configured to be retentively engaged by the at least one recessed portion when the tile carrier (holder 4) is disposed therein. (see figure 3, lines 57-60).
6. With respect to claim 2, Livingston the top and lower portion 4^a of the tile carrier (holder 4) each include a channel.
7. With respect to claim 3, the plurality of tiles (plates 5) are slideably engage the channels.
8. With respect to claim 7, base is frame.

9. With respect to claims 8 and 19, Livingston teaches an overlay having a rear surface adjacent an outer surface of the base. The overlay comprises a coating of paint or reflective enamel. See lines 84-86.
10. With respect to claims 9 and 15, the recessed portion 3 is disposed adjacent an upper edge and a lower edge of the display window 1^a.
11. With respect to claim 14, the display window 1^a includes a recessed portion 3 disposed on an inner surface of the base. See figure 3.
12. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 12-16, 19, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramsay, U. S. Patent 4,682,430.
13. With respect to claims 1, 12, 20, and 21, Ramsay teaches price chip support strip comprising a base (frame panel 38) having an inner surface and two display windows (40) disposed inside a perimeter of the base; a recessed portion (upper and lower tacks 37) disposed on the inner surface of the base adjacent the display windows; a plurality of tiles (price chips 21) having an upper side and a lower side; and a tile carrier (price chip support strip 20) having a top portion and a lower portion. The tiles 21 are retained in the tile carrier 20 by engagement of the upper and lower sides of the plurality of tiles 21 with the top (shoulder 36, ledge 31) and bottom portions (ledge 30) of the tile carrier and wherein the tile carrier 20 is configured to

be retentively engaged by the recessed portions when the tile carrier 20 is disposed therein.

14. With respect to claim 20, the method limitation are inherent in the teaching of Ramsay.

15. With respect to claims 2 and 3, the bend ledge portion 30 and 31 corresponds to channel of the top and lower portion.

16. With respect to claims 4 and 14, Ramsay teaches a first and second display window 40 disposed inside a perimeter of the base adapted to receive an image. See figure 1.

17. With respect to claim 5, each of the first and second display windows include a recessed portion (upper and lower tacks 37) disposed on the inner surface of the base 38 adjacent to the display window.

18. With respect to claims 7 and 17, the base is a photo frame.

19. With respect to claims 8 and 19, Ramsay teaches an overlay (opaque mask 39 on the forward face of the base (transparent frame panel 38) defining windows 40. See column 3, lines 31-37). The opaque has a rear surface adjacent an outer surface or forward surface of the base 38.

20. With respect to claims 9, 16, and 15, Ramsay teaches the recessed portion 37 is disposed adjacent an upper edge and a lower edge of the first and second display window 40.

21. With respect to claim 13, Ramsay teaches a first and second window disposed inside a perimeter of the base adapted to receive an image.


Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following U. S. Patents are cited to show display frame for display a plurality of tiles within a holder: Morris - 3,565, Porstner et al. - 1,770,803, and Wishinsky - 2,195,042.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-6642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Cassandra Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3611

CD
September 4, 2006